

Simulation Goal

- · Our simulation goal will be to write a model that captures the basics of CSMA/CD arbitration
- · Interesting from a VHDL viewpoint because
 - Need to use a resolved type to model the 'wire'
 - No global clock signal CPU model will respond to asynchronous events (generated both from within the model and externally)
- · I will provide the framework of the simulation
- · You will need to provide two things
 - CPU Model
 - Resolution function for the resolved type used to model the 'wire'
- · Our simulation will leave out some of the subtleties of the complete CSMD/CD arbitration model as defined in the ethernet standard, but will capture its essence

BR

2/5/2003

When a CPU drives the wire, will set the drive value field

3

- Drive_value should be set to 'X' if more than one driver has drive_value = '0'

etc. if trying do a more complete simulation

collision

to a '0'

for debugging

 CPU should set drive value = 'Z' upon wire release 2/5/2003

Modeling the 'wire'

drive value (std_logic) - resolved value of wire, used to check for

sender (integer) - CPU_ID of current driver of wire - only used

Could have created other fields for packet contents, length

Resolution function should return a resolved epacket value that

has drive_value = '0' only if there is one driver with drive_value =

· Will model the single 'wire' as a resolved type called epacket - will be a record type with two fields

Packet Time, Slot Time

- · Will use two constants that define time periods
 - SLOTtime the length of time at the beginning of a transmission used to check for collisions
 - PKTtime the time it takes to transmit a packet
- For 100 Mb ethernet, 1 SLOTtime = 512 bit times, will approximate as 5 ms
 - A SLOTtime is the minimum amount of time based on maximum cable length, and round trip wire delay that a collision can be reliably detected
- For 100 Mb ethernet, maximum packet size is 1500 bytes, so 1 PKTtime = 120 ms
- Will use CPU generic named wait_interval to control bus utilization
- Will wait a random time between 0 and wait interval*PKTtime seconds between packet sends BR

2/5/2003

5

Transmitting a Packet

- · Wait for a random amount of time between 0 and wait interval * PKTtime (LOCAL state)
 - This represents the time that the CPU is not attempting a packet transmission
- (TRANSMIT CHECK state) Wait for the wire to become free (drive.value = 'Z')
- Drive the wire (drive.value = 0)
- · Wait for SLOTtime, then check the wire for a collision
 - If a collision (drive.value = 'X') then go to collision state
 - If no collision (drive.value = '0'), then wait for PKTtime-SLOTtime (remainder of packet time), release wire (drive.value = 'Z'), reset the backoff to 1, and go back to LOCAL state
- 2/5/2003

BR

6

4

2



etherpkg_.vhd, etherpkg.vhd

- These files contain the header and body declarations of the *etherpkg*.
 - Defines the *epacket* type
 - Defines various shared variables for statistics keeping
 - Defines the do_report procedure for statistics printing
- You only have to modify the *etherpkg.vhd* file and fill in the body of the *epacket* resolution function
 - The *drive_value* of epacket is the primary concern of the resolution function
 - Default value of *drive_value* should be 'Z'
 - If more than one driver has a '0' value, then $drive_value$ should return 'X'
 - If only one driver has a '0' value, then *drive_value* should return '0'
 Do what you want with the *sender* value of *epacket* it is only useful for debugging.

9

11

BR

2/5/2003

Statistics etherpkg has several shared variable arrays for statistics

- Your *cpu* architecture should update the following shared variable arrays based on *cpu_id*:

 collisions (integer array) number of collisions seen by a CPU
 - finish_time (time array) time when CPU suspends after last packet send
 - latency_time (time array) total latency for a CPU. Latency is the amount of time before a successful packet transmission (the amount of time the CPU spends waiting because of collisions).
 packets_sent (integer array) total packets sent by a CPU
 - backoff (integer array) maximum backoff reached by a CPU
- The *do_report* procedure is called by the *monitor* entity when the *active* signal becomes a 'H' (all CPUs are idle)

BR

10

· The monitor entity also tracks bus utilization

2/5/2003

cpu Modeling Approach

- Should use a FSM to model the CPU behavior, but transitions between states controlled by state changes and io events.
- · Can use a single process with or without a sensitivity list.
- If using a sensitivity list, trigger the process based on *state* or *io* events.
- If not using a sensitivity list, control state transitions with wait statements.
- No inherent advantage to either method use whatever method you understand the best.

2/5/2003 BR



Typical Results

All times normalized to Packet Times !!
CPU #0 Finish Time: 1659, Packets: 100, Collisions: 28, Max Backoff Reached: 32,
Total Latency: 1, LatencyPerPacket: 1.000000e-02
CPU #1 Finish Time: 1745, Packets: 100, Collisions: 35, Max Backoff Reached: 32,
Total Latency: 1, LatencyPerPacket: 1.000000e-02
CPU #2 Finish Time: 1739, Packets: 100, Collisions: 36, Max Backoff Reached: 64,
Total Latency: 2, LatencyPerPacket: 2.000000e-02
CPU #3 Finish Time: 1739, Packets: 100, Collisions: 37, Max Backoff Reached: 32,
Total Latency: 2, LatencyPerPacket: 2.000000e-02
CPU #4 Finish Time: 1637, Packets: 100, Collisions: 40, Max Backoff Reached: 128,
Total Latency: 5, LatencyPerPacket: 5.000000e-02
CPU #5 Finish Time: 1672, Packets: 100, Collisions: 34, Max Backoff Reached: 32,
Total Latency: 1, LatencyPerPacket: 1.000000e-02
CPU #6 Finish Time: 1685, Packets: 100, Collisions: 23, Max Backoff Reached: 8,
Total Latency: 0, LatencyPerPacket: 0.000000e+00
CPU #7 Finish Time: 1715, Packets: 100, Collisions: 49, Max Backoff Reached: 128,
Total Latency: 3, LatencyPerPacket: 3.000000e-02
Wait Interval: 30, Bus Utilization: 44%, Total Packets: 800, Total Collisions: 282,
AvgLatencyPerPacket: 1.875000e-02
2/5/2003 BR 13

Sanity Checking Report Output • The *do_report* procedure normalizes all times to *PKTtime* · CSMA is inherently fair - CPU finish times should be reasonably close to each other - If not, may be problem with the way you are calling the random number generator · For high values of wait_interval, will not have many collisions - Finish times will be approximately wait interval/2 * # of packets • Latency values are small because backoff time based on SLOTtime, which is small compared to PKTtime · Once backoff times saturate to 1024, bus utilization will fluctuate, results very dependent on random number generation 2/5/2003 BR 14

Simulation Requirements

- · I have provide configurations for 1, 2 and 8 CPUs
 - Cfg tb8.vhd, cfg tb2.vhd, cfg tb1.vhd
 - Use 1 CPU and 2 CPU configurations for debugging
 - The simulator resolution must be in 'ms' edit the modelsim.ini file.
- The zip archive contains a file called *reese.ether.rawsol cpu8* which is the output of my 8 CPU simulation for different values of wait_interval
 - The perl script ether_sol.pl can be used to run your simulation for these values.
 - You do not have to match my numbers exactly, simply have reasonable agreement
- · I do not require any plots for this simulation or any answers Obviously could use this simulation to answer questions similar to that in the 8 CPU + Arbiter simulation
 - I will simply run your model using the ether_sol.pl and look at the output BR

2/5/2003

15

